QUANTITATIVE SPATIAL MODELS: OWENS, ROSSI-HANSBERG, AND SARTE (2020)

Xianglong (Sean) Kong

January 16, 2024

ECON 33550: Spatial Economics

PLAN FOR TODAY

- ▶ Quantification of Owens, Rossi-Hansberg, and Sarte (2020)
- ► Model
- ► Equilibrium
- ► Estimation (assuming we have the data we need)
- \blacktriangleright Solution algorithm for counterfactuals

Model (1/3)

- ▶ Geography: $\{\bar{T}_j^b, \bar{T}_j^r, A_j, F_j, \sigma_j, \lambda_{ij}, \kappa_{ij}\}$
- ▶ Open city: freely move in and out of Detroit
- ► Atomistic local firms with CRS technology

$$Y_j = A_j \left(\frac{L_j}{T_j^b}\right)^{\alpha} L_j^{\beta} (T_j^b)^{1-\beta} \implies \frac{Y_j}{T_j^b} = \left(A_j \ell_j^{\alpha}\right) \ell_j^{\beta}$$

► Local labor demand

$$L_j = \left(\frac{A_j \beta}{w_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta-\alpha}} T_j^b, \quad 1-\beta > \alpha \tag{1}$$

ightharpoonup Competitive commercial land market \implies zero profits \implies commercial rent

$$q_j^b = (1 - \beta) A_j^{\frac{1}{1 - \beta - \alpha}} \left(\frac{\beta}{w_j}\right)^{\frac{\beta + \alpha}{1 - \beta - \alpha}}$$

Model (2/3)

► Indirect utility

$$U_{ij}(s) = \frac{sR_j^{\sigma_j}}{\kappa_{ii}} w_i \left(q_j^r\right)^{\gamma - 1}, \quad \sigma_j > 1 - \gamma$$

 \triangleright Expected utility living in j

$$U_{j} = \Gamma\left(\frac{\theta - 1}{\theta}\right) R_{j}^{\sigma} \left(q_{j}^{r}\right)^{\gamma - 1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{J} \lambda_{ij} \left(\frac{w_{i}}{\kappa_{ij}}\right)^{\theta}\right)^{\overline{\theta}}$$

► Commuting flows

$$\pi_{ij} = \frac{\lambda_{ij} \left(w_i / \kappa_{ij} \right)^{\theta}}{\sum_{n=1}^{J} \lambda_{nj} \left(w_n / \kappa_{nj} \right)^{\theta}}$$

► Residential demand

$$H_j = \frac{1 - \gamma}{q_i^r} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{ij} w_i, \quad R_j H_j = T_j^r \implies q_j^r = \frac{(1 - \gamma)R_j}{T_i^r} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \pi_{ij} w_i$$

(2)

(3)

(4)

Model (3/3)

► Atomistic residential developers

$$\max_{h_j} q_j^r h_j - V h_j^{\nu} - F_j \implies h_j = \left(\frac{q_j^r}{\nu V}\right)^{\frac{1}{\nu - 1}}$$

► Free entry (subject to residential zoning)

$$q_j^r h_j \ge V h_j^{\nu} + F_j \implies R_j \ge rac{
u V \left(rac{F_j}{(
u - 1)V}
ight)^{rac{
u - 1}{
u}}}{(1 - \gamma) \sum_{i=1}^J \pi_{ij} w_i} T_j^r$$

► Mass of developers (conditional positive entry)

$$n_j h_j = R_j H_j = T_j^r \le \bar{T}_j^r \implies n_j = \frac{(T_j^r)^{\frac{\nu}{\nu - 1}}}{\left(\frac{1 - \gamma}{\nu V} R_j \sum_{i=1}^J \pi_{ij} w_i\right)^{\frac{1}{\nu - 1}}}$$

(5)

OPEN CITY EQUILIBRIUM (1/2)

- \blacktriangleright Exogenous parameters: $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta, \nu, V, \bar{u}, T_j^b, \bar{T}_j^r, A_j, F_j, \sigma_j, \lambda_{ij}, \kappa_{ij}\}$
- ▶ Endogenous variables: $\{R_j, T_j^r, q_j^r, w_j, \pi_{ij}\}$ and $\{\Omega^F, \Omega^S\}$
- 1. Free mobility: (2) + (4)

$$R_{j} = \left(\frac{\bar{u}(1-\gamma)^{1-\gamma} \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{J} \pi_{ij} w_{i}\right\}^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\theta-1}{\theta}\right) \left(T_{j}^{r}\right)^{1-\gamma} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{J} \lambda_{ij} \left(\frac{w_{i}}{\kappa_{ij}}\right)^{\theta}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_{j}+\gamma-1}}, \quad \forall j \in \Omega^{F} \cup \Omega^{S}$$

2. Developer entry: (5)

$$egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} rac{F_j}{(
u-1)V} & \stackrel{\Gamma}{\longrightarrow} \ \hline (1-\gamma)\sum_{i=1}^J \pi_{ij} w_i \end{aligned} egin{aligned} T_j^r, & orall j \in \Omega^F \cup \Omega^S \end{aligned}$$

OPEN CITY EQUILIBRIUM (2/2)

3. Residential rent: (4)

$$q_j^r = (1 - \gamma) \frac{R_j}{T_j^r} \sum_{i=1}^J \pi_{ij} w_i, \quad \forall j \in \Omega^F \cup \Omega^S$$

4. Labor market clearing: (1) + labor supply

$$\left(\frac{A_i\beta}{w_i}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta-\alpha}}T_i^b = \sum_{j=1}^J \pi_{ij}R_j, \quad \forall i \in \Omega$$

5. Commuting flows: (3)

$$\pi_{ij} = \frac{\lambda_{ij} \left(\mathbf{w}_i / \kappa_{ij} \right)^{\theta}}{\sum_{n=1}^{J} \lambda_{nj} \left(\mathbf{w}_n / \kappa_{nj} \right)^{\theta}}, \quad \forall i, j \in \Omega$$

▶ Treatment of zeros: For vacant neighborhoods $(j \in \Omega^V)$, $R_j = T_j^r = q_j^r = \pi_{ij} = 0 \ \forall i$

ESTIMATION OVERVIEW

- ▶ Data: w_j , q_j^r , R_j , L_j , n_j , T_j^b , T_j^r , π_{ij} , κ_{ij} , Ω^F , Ω^S , Ω^V
- ► Three sets of parameters to be estimated
- 1. Citywide parameters: $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta, \nu, V, \bar{u}\}$
- 2. Pair specific parameters: $\{\lambda_{ij}\}$
- 3. Neighborhood specific parameters: $\{\bar{T}_j^r, A_j, F_j, \sigma_j\}$
- ▶ Model inversion: parameters are chosen such that the model exactly rationalizes the data

ESTIMATE CITYWIDE AND PAIR SPECIFIC PARAMETERS

- ightharpoonup Calibrate $\alpha = 0.06$, $\beta = 0.80$, $\gamma = 0.76$, $\nu = 2.50$; normalize $\bar{u} = 1$
- Estimate V (scale of residential construction cost) to match mean number of active contractors n_j

$$\frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^{J} n_j = \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^{J} (\nu V)^{\frac{1}{\nu-1}} \left(q_j^r \right)^{\frac{\nu}{\nu-1}} (1-\gamma) R_j \sum_{i=1}^{J} \pi_{ij} w_i \implies V$$

 \blacktriangleright Estimate θ (commuting elasticity) from the gravity equation (3)

$$\log \pi_{ij} = -\theta \log \kappa_{ij} + \mu_i + \mu_j + \varepsilon_{ij} \implies \theta$$

ightharpoonup Estimate λ_{ij} (scale of Fréchet distribution) from

$$\frac{\pi_{ij}}{\pi_{jj}} = \frac{\lambda_{ij}}{\lambda_{jj}} \left(\frac{w_i}{w_j}\right)^{\theta} \left(\frac{\kappa_{ij}}{\kappa_{jj}}\right)^{-\theta} \implies \lambda_{ij} = \pi_{ij} \left(\frac{\kappa_{ij}}{w_i}\right)^{\theta} \text{ normalizing } \left(\frac{w_j}{\kappa_{jj}}\right)^{\theta} \frac{\lambda_{jj}}{\pi_{jj}} = 1$$

Estimate Neighborhood Specific Parameters (1/2)

- $ightharpoonup F_j$ and \bar{T}_j^r cannot be simultaneously inferred from the data for the same neighborhood
- ightharpoonup To estimate F_j (fixed costs)

$$F_{j} = \begin{cases} (\nu - 1)V\left(\frac{q_{j}^{r}}{\nu F}\right)^{\frac{\nu}{\nu - 1}}, & \text{if } j \in \Omega^{S} \\ \text{median}_{j' \in \Omega^{S}} F_{j'}, & \text{if } j \in \Omega^{F} \\ F_{j'} \text{ in the nearest partially developed neighborhood,} & \text{if } j \in \Omega^{V} \end{cases}$$

▶ To estimate \bar{T}_i^r (upper bound for residential land)

$$\bar{T}_{j}^{r} = \begin{cases} \text{data counterpart}, & \text{if } j \in \Omega^{S} \\ (1 - \gamma) \frac{R_{j}}{q_{j}^{r}} \sum_{i=1}^{J} \pi_{ij} w_{i}, & \text{if } j \in \Omega^{F} \\ \text{data counterpart}, & \text{if } j \in \Omega^{V} \end{cases}$$

Estimate Neighborhood Specific Parameters (2/2)

ightharpoonup To estimate A_i (productivity)

$$A_i = \frac{w_i}{\beta} \left(\frac{1}{T_i^b} \sum_{j=1}^J \pi_{ij} R_j \right)^{1-\beta-\alpha} \quad \forall i \in \Omega$$

 \triangleright To estimate σ_i (residential externalities)

$$\sigma_{j} = \begin{cases} (\log R_{j})^{-1} \log \left(\frac{\bar{u}(1-\gamma)^{1-\gamma} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{J} \pi_{ij} w_{i} \right\}^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma \left(\frac{\theta-1}{\theta} \right) \left(T_{j}^{r} \right)^{1-\gamma} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{J} \lambda_{ij} \left(\frac{w_{i}}{\kappa_{ij}} \right)^{\theta} \right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \right) - \gamma + 1, \quad j \in \Omega^{F} \cup \Omega^{S} \\ \sigma_{j'} \text{ in the nearest partially developed neighborhood,} \qquad \qquad j \in \Omega^{V} \end{cases}$$

► Done!

SOLUTION ALGORITHM FOR COUNTERFACTUALS

- ▶ We want to solve for counterfactual equilibrium
- ▶ Change a subset of exogenous parameters (holding others fixed), solve for endogenous variables and compare them with the baseline equilibrium
- ▶ Policy: coordinating vacant neighborhoods $(\Omega^V \to \Omega^S \text{ or } \Omega^F)$
- ► Implementation: fixed-point algorithm

FIXED-POINT ALGORITHM (1/3)

- 1. Guess a vector of wages, $\mathbf{w}^0 = [w_1^0, ..., w_J^0]$
- 2. Calculate commuting flows, $\pi_{ij}(\mathbf{w}^0)$

$$\pi_{ij}(\mathbf{w}^0) = \frac{\lambda_{ij} \left(\frac{w_i^0}{\kappa_{ij}}\right)^{\theta}}{\sum_{n=1}^{J} \lambda_{nj} \left(\frac{w_n^0}{\kappa_{nj}}\right)^{\theta}}, \quad \forall i, j \in \Omega$$

3. Calculate residential land, $T_j^r(\mathbf{w}^0)$, assuming no upper bound \bar{T}_j^r

$$T_j^r(\mathbf{w}^0) = \left(\frac{\bar{u}(1-\gamma)^{1-\gamma} \left[\left(\frac{(v-1)V}{F_j}\right)^{\frac{v-1}{v}} \left(\frac{1-\gamma}{vV}\right)\right]^{\sigma_j + \gamma - 1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\theta-1}{\theta}\right) \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{I} \lambda_{ij} \left[w_i^0 / \kappa_{ij}\right]^{\theta}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{J} \pi_{ij}(\mathbf{w}^0) w_i^0\right)$$

FIXED-POINT ALGORITHM (2/3)

4. Obtain actual residential land, recognizing the fact that there is an upper bound

$$T_j^r(\mathbf{w}^0) = \min\{T_j^r(\mathbf{w}^0), \bar{T}_j^r(\mathbf{w}^0)\}$$

5. Calculate residential population, $R_i(\mathbf{w}^0)$

$$R_{j}(\mathbf{w}^{0}) = \left(\frac{\bar{u}(1-\gamma)^{1-\gamma} \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{J} \pi_{ij}(\mathbf{w}^{0}) w_{i}^{0}\right\}^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\theta-1}{\theta}\right) \left(T_{j}^{r}(\mathbf{w}^{0})\right)^{1-\gamma} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{J} \lambda_{ij} \left(\frac{w_{i}^{0}}{\kappa_{ij}}\right)^{\theta}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_{j}+\gamma-1}}$$

6. Calculate labor demand, labor supply, and excess labor demand

$$L_i^D(\mathbf{w}^0) = \left(\frac{A_i \beta}{w_i^0}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta-\alpha}} T_i^b, \quad L_i^S(\mathbf{w}^0) = \sum_{i=1}^J \pi_{ij}(\mathbf{w}^0) R_j(\mathbf{w}^0), \quad X_i(\mathbf{w}^0) = L_i^D(\mathbf{w}^0) - L_i^S(\mathbf{w}^0)$$

FIXED-POINT ALGORITHM (3/3)

7. If $\sum_{i=1}^{J} |X_i(\mathbf{w}^0)| \ge \epsilon$, update the vector of wages

$$\mathbf{w}^1 = \mathbf{w}^0 + \delta \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{w}^0)$$

where δ is the adjustment factor (not too large to ensure convergence)

- 8. Use \mathbf{w}^1 as the initial guess and iterate steps 1-7 until convergence
- ▶ Obtain $\{R_j, T_j^r, q_j^r, w_j, \pi_{ij}\}$ in the counterfactual equilibrium
- ▶ See Section 4 in Online Appendix B for details