

DYNAMIC QUANTITATIVE SPATIAL MODELS:  
DESMET, NAGY, AND ROSSI-HANSBERG (2018)  
CRUZ AND ROSSI-HANSBERG (2023)

Xianglong (Sean) Kong

February 20, 2024

ECON 33550: Spatial Economics

**Desmet, Nagy, and Rossi-Hansberg (2018)**

## OVERVIEW

- ▶ Endogenous growth through innovation and diffusion
- ▶ Sources of dynamics: migration and innovation
- ▶ Tractability: agents in the model make static migration and innovation decisions
  - ▶ In contrast to CDP and KLR, where agents still make dynamic decisions (solve DP problem)
- ▶ Possible to recover fundamentals through model inversion

## EQUILIBRIUM (1/3)

- ▶ Parameters:  $\{\beta, \rho, \lambda, \Omega, \alpha, \theta, \mu, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \xi, \nu, \eta\}$
- ▶ Trade and migration costs:  $\{\varsigma, m\}$
- ▶ Initial condition:  $\{\tau_0, \bar{a}, \bar{L}_0, H\}$
- ▶ Endogenous variables:  $\{u_t, \bar{L}_t, \phi_t, R_t, w_t, P_t, \tau_t, T_t\}$

### 1. Firm optimization:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu p_t^\omega(r, r) \phi_t^\omega(r) z_t^\omega(r) L_t^\omega(r)^{\mu-1} &= w_t(r) \\ \gamma_1 p_t^\omega(r, r) \phi_t^\omega(r)^{\gamma_1-1} z_t^\omega(r) L_t^\omega(r)^\mu &= \xi w_t(r) \nu \phi_t^\omega(r)^{\xi-1}\end{aligned}$$

### 2. Labor market clearing:

$$\bar{L}_t^\omega(r) = \frac{L_t^\omega(r)}{\mu} \left[ \mu + \frac{\gamma_1}{\xi} \right]$$

### 3. Marginal cost and trade share:

$$\begin{aligned}mc_t(r) &\equiv \left[ \frac{1}{\mu} \right]^\mu \left[ \frac{\nu \xi}{\gamma_1} \right]^{1-\mu} \left[ \frac{\gamma_1 R_t(r)}{w_t(r) \nu (\xi(1-\mu) - \gamma_1)} \right]^{(1-\mu) - (\gamma_1/\xi)} w_t(r) \\ \pi_t(s, r) &= \frac{T_t(r) [mc_t(r) \varsigma(r, s)]^{-\theta}}{\int_S T_t(u) [mc_t(u) \varsigma(u, s)]^{-\theta} du}\end{aligned}$$

## EQUILIBRIUM (2/3)

4. Trade balance:

$$w_t(r)H(r)\bar{L}_t(r) = \int_S \pi_t(s,r)w_t(s)H(s)\bar{L}_t(s)ds$$

5. Land rent from Bertrand competition:

$$R_t(r) = \left[ \frac{\xi - \mu\xi - \gamma_1}{\mu\xi + \gamma_1} \right] w_t(r)\bar{L}_t(r)$$

6. Migration flow:

$$H(r)\bar{L}_t(r) = \frac{u_t(r)^{1/\Omega}m_2(r)^{-1/\Omega}}{\int_S u_t(v)^{1/\Omega}m_2(v)^{-1/\Omega}dv} \bar{L}$$

7. Utility:

$$u_t(r) = \bar{a}(r)\bar{L}_t(r)^{-\lambda} \frac{\xi}{\mu\xi + \gamma_1} \frac{w_t(r)}{P_t(r)}$$

$$P_t(s) = \Gamma \left( \frac{-\rho}{(1-\rho)\theta} + 1 \right)^{-(1-\rho)/\rho} \left\{ \int_S T_t(u)[mc_t(u)\zeta(s,u)]^{-\theta} du \right\}^{-1/\theta}$$

## EQUILIBRIUM (3/3)

8. Land market clearing:

$$\int_S H(r) \bar{L}_t(r) dr = \bar{L}$$

9. Productivity process:

$$\tau_t(r) = \phi_{t-1}(r)^{\theta\gamma_1} \left[ \int_S \eta\tau_{t-1}(s) ds \right]^{1-\gamma_2} \tau_{t-1}(r)^{\gamma_2}$$

► An equilibrium exists and is unique if

$$\underbrace{\frac{\alpha}{\theta}}_{\text{prod. ext.}} + \underbrace{\frac{\gamma_1}{\xi}}_{\text{returns to R\&D}} \leq \underbrace{\lambda}_{\text{amen. ext.}} + \underbrace{1 - \mu}_{\text{fixed factor}} + \underbrace{\Omega}_{\text{var. of taste}}$$

► An BGP exists and is unique if

$$\frac{\alpha}{\theta} + \frac{\gamma_1}{\xi} + \underbrace{\frac{\gamma_1}{[1 - \gamma_2]\xi}}_{\text{dyn. aggl.}} \leq \lambda + 1 - \mu + \Omega$$

## DATA AND ESTIMATION (1/2)

- ▶ Data:  $a(r), y(r), L(r), H(r), w(r)$ , transportation networks, subjective well-being
- ▶ Calibration:

$$\beta = 0.95, \quad \rho = 0.75, \quad \Omega = 0.5, \quad \alpha = 0.06, \quad \theta = 6.5, \quad \mu = 0.8, \quad \xi = 125$$

- ▶ Estimate  $\lambda$  from the relationship between amenities and population:

$$\log(a(r)) = E(\log(\bar{a}(r))) - \lambda \log \bar{L}(r) + \varepsilon_a(r)$$

Use exogenous productivity as an instrument for population

- ▶ Estimate  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  from BGP growth rate:

$$\Delta \log y_{t+1}(c) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \log \sum_{S_c} L_c(s)^{\alpha_3}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{1 - \gamma_2}{\theta}, \quad \alpha_3 = \frac{\theta \gamma_1}{[1 - \gamma_2] \xi}$$

- ▶ Choose  $\nu$  to generate 2% real GDP growth
- ▶ Obtain  $\zeta(s, r)$  based on Allen and Arkolakis (2014)

## DATA AND ESTIMATION (2/2)

- ▶ Run model inversion to back out the rest of the location fundamentals
- ▶ Solve for  $\frac{\bar{a}(r)}{u_0(r)}$  and  $\tau_0(r)$  from the following equations:

$$\left[ \frac{\bar{a}(r)}{u_0(r)} \right]^{-\theta} = \kappa_1 \bar{w}^{-(1+2\theta)} w_0(r)^\theta \bar{L}_0(r)^{-\lambda\theta} \int_S w_0(s)^{1+\theta} \bar{L}_0(s)^{1-\lambda\theta} H(s) \zeta(r, s)^{-\theta} \left[ \frac{\bar{a}(s)}{u_0(s)} \right]^\theta ds$$
$$\tau_0(r) = \bar{w}^{-(1+2\theta)} \left[ \frac{\bar{a}(r)}{u_0(r)} \right]^\theta H(r) w_0(r)^{1+2\theta} \bar{L}_0(r)^{1-\alpha - [\lambda + \frac{\gamma_1}{\xi} - [1-\mu]]\theta}$$

- ▶ Obtain a measure of  $u_0(r)$  from subjective well-being data:  $u_0(r) = e^{1.8\tilde{u}(c(r))} \implies \bar{a}(r)$
- ▶ Solve for  $m_2(r)$  from equations (37) and (38) in the paper



## SOLUTION ALGORITHM (1/4)

► Simulate the (baseline or counterfactual) economy forward

1. Update productivity:

$$\tau_t(r) = \phi_{t-1}(r)^{\theta\gamma_1} \left[ \int_S \eta\tau_{t-1}(s)ds \right]^{1-\gamma_2} \tau_{t-1}(r)^{\gamma_2}$$

2. Solve for utility level using Lemma 3 (see below)

3. Compute population:

$$\bar{L}_t(r) = \frac{u_t(r)^{1/\Omega} m_2(r)^{-1/\Omega}}{\int_S u_t(v)^{1/\Omega} m_2(v)^{-1/\Omega} dv} \frac{\bar{L}}{H(r)}$$

4. Compute innovation from FOCs of firms:

$$\phi_t(r) = (\bar{L}_t(r))^{1/\xi} \left[ \frac{\gamma_1}{\nu(\mu\xi + \gamma_1)} \right]^{1/\xi}$$

## SOLUTION ALGORITHM (2/4)

5. Compute wages:

$$w_t(r) = \bar{w} \left[ \frac{\bar{a}(r)}{u_t(r)} \right]^{-\frac{\theta}{1+2\theta}} \tau_t(r)^{\frac{1}{1+2\theta}} H(r)^{-\frac{1}{1+2\theta}} \bar{L}_t(r)^{\frac{\alpha-1+\left[\lambda+\frac{\gamma}{\xi}-[1-\mu]\right]}{1+2\theta}}$$

6. Compute land rent:

$$R_t(r) = \left[ \frac{\xi - \mu\xi - \gamma_1}{\mu\xi + \gamma_1} \right] w_t(r) \bar{L}_t(r)$$

7. Compute marginal cost and price index:

$$mc_t(r) = \left[ \frac{1}{\mu} \right]^\mu \left[ \frac{\nu\xi}{\gamma_1} \right]^{1-\mu} \left[ \frac{\gamma_1 R_t(r)}{w_t(r) \nu(\xi(1-\mu) - \gamma_1)} \right]^{(1-\mu) - (\gamma_1/\xi)} w_t(r)$$
$$P_t(r) = \Gamma \left( \frac{-\rho}{(1-\rho)\theta} + 1 \right)^{-(1-\rho)/\rho} \left\{ \int_S T_t(u) [mc_t(u) \varsigma(r, u)]^{-\theta} du \right\}^{-1/\theta}$$

8. Move on to the next period and repeat steps 1-7

## SOLUTION ALGORITHM - SOLVING FOR UTILITY LEVEL, LEMMA 3 (3/4)

- Solve for  $\hat{u}_t$  from the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & B_{1t}(r) \hat{u}_t(r) \frac{1}{\Omega} \left[ \lambda \theta - \frac{\theta}{1+2\theta} \left[ \alpha - 1 + \left[ \lambda + \frac{\gamma_1}{\xi} - [1-\mu] \right] \theta \right] \right] + \frac{\theta(1+\theta)}{1+2\theta} \\
 = & \kappa_1 \int_S \hat{u}_t(s) \frac{1}{\Omega} \left[ 1 - \lambda \theta + \frac{1+\theta}{1+2\theta} \left[ \alpha - 1 + \left[ \lambda + \frac{\gamma_1}{\xi} - [1-\mu] \right] \theta \right] \right] - \frac{\theta^2}{1+2\theta} B_{2t}(s) \zeta(r, s)^{-\theta} ds
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_{1t}(r) &= \bar{a}(r)^{-\frac{\theta(1+\theta)}{1+2\theta}} \tau_t(r)^{-\frac{\theta}{1+2\theta}} H(r)^{\frac{\theta}{1+2\theta}} \left[ \alpha + \left[ \lambda + \frac{\gamma_1}{\xi} - [1-\mu] \right] \theta \right] - \lambda \theta \\
 &\quad \times m_2(r)^{-\frac{1}{\Omega} \left[ \lambda \theta - \frac{\theta}{1+2\theta} \left[ \alpha - 1 + \left[ \lambda + \frac{\gamma_1}{\xi} - [1-\mu] \right] \theta \right] \right]} \\
 B_{2t}(r, s) &= \bar{a}(s)^{\frac{\theta^2}{1+2\theta}} \tau_t(s)^{\frac{1+\theta}{1+2\theta}} H(s)^{\frac{\theta}{1+2\theta} - 1 + \lambda \theta - \frac{1+\theta}{1+2\theta} \left[ \alpha - 1 + \left[ \lambda + \frac{\gamma_1}{\xi} - [1-\mu] \right] \theta \right]} \\
 &\quad \times m_2(s)^{-\frac{1}{\Omega} \left[ 1 - \lambda \theta + \frac{1+\theta}{1+2\theta} \left[ \alpha - 1 + \left[ \lambda + \frac{\gamma_1}{\xi} - [1-\mu] \right] \theta \right] \right]} \zeta(r, s)^{-\theta}
 \end{aligned}$$

- Compute utility level:

$$u_t(r) = \hat{u}_t(r) / \left[ \frac{\bar{L}}{\int_S m_2(v)^{-1/\Omega} \hat{u}_t(v)^{1/\Omega} dv} \right]^{\frac{F}{1-F/\Omega}}$$

where  $F$  is a constant (see page 976 of the paper)

## SOLUTION ALGORITHM - BACKCASTING (4/4)

- ▶ Backcasting: simulate the economy backward
- 1. Given  $\tau_{t+1}$ , write  $\tau_t$  as a function of  $\bar{L}_t$  (equation (39))
- 2. Solve for  $\bar{L}_t$  (equation (40))
- 3. Calculate  $\tau_t$  and other endogenous variables in period  $t$
- 4. Move on to period  $t - 1$  and repeat steps 1-3

**Cruz and Rossi-Hansberg (2023)**

## OVERVIEW

- ▶ Application of Desmet, Nagy, and Rossi-Hansberg (2018) to climate change
- ▶ Three new components:
  1. Endogenous law of motion for global population
  2. Use of energy in production: fossil fuels generate  $CO_2$  emissions, whereas clean sources do not
  3. Carbon cycle:  $CO_2$  emissions affect global and local temperature, which distort local fundamentals and natality rates

## NATALITY

- ▶  $n_t(r)$  net off-springs for each household, which depends on local real income and temperature

$$n_t(r) = \eta(y_t(r), T_t(r))$$

- ▶ Law of motion for local population (before migration):

$$L'_{t+1}(r)H(r) = (1 + n_t(r))L_t(r)H(r)$$

- ▶ End-of-period population depends on natality and migration, which is shaped by activities across space

## ENERGY

- ▶ Production requires energy, a CES bundle of fossil fuels and clean sources

$$q_t^\omega(r) = \phi_t^\omega(r)^{\gamma_1} z_t^\omega(r) (L_t^\omega(r)^\chi e_t^\omega(r)^{1-\chi})^\mu, \quad e_t^\omega(r) = \left( \kappa e_t^{f,\omega}(r)^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} + (1-\kappa) e_t^{c,\omega}(r)^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}}$$

- ▶ Competitive local energy market such that price equals marginal cost  $Q$

$$Q_t^f(r) = \frac{f(\text{CumCO2}_{t-1})}{\zeta_t^f(r)}, \quad Q_t^c(r) = \frac{1}{\zeta_t^c(r)}$$

- ▶  $f$  increasing and convex: rising extraction cost
- ▶ Cumulative emissions:

$$\text{CumCO2}_t = \text{CumCO2}_{t-1} + \int_S \int_0^1 e_t^{f,\omega}(v) H(v) d\omega dv$$

- ▶ Rising efficiency  $\zeta$  with global real GDP:

$$\frac{\zeta_t^j(r)}{\zeta_{t-1}^j(r)} = \left( \frac{y_t^w}{y_{t-1}^w} \right)^{v^j}$$

- ▶ Note that firms make static decisions on energy use



## CARBON CYCLE (1/2)

- ▶ Carbon emissions contribute to carbon stock:

$$S_{t+1} = S_{\text{pre-ind}} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (1 - \delta_{\ell}) \left( E_{t+1-\ell}^f + E_{t+1-\ell}^x \right)$$

- ▶ Carbon stock affects global radiative forcing:

$$F_{t+1} = \varphi \log_2 \frac{S_{t+1}}{S_{\text{pre-ind}}} + F_{t+1}^x$$

- ▶ Global radiative forcing affects global temperature:

$$T_{t+1} = T_{\text{pre-ind}} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \zeta_{\ell} F_{t+1-\ell}$$

- ▶ Global temperature affects local temperature (down-scaling):

$$T_t(r) - T_{t-1}(r) = g(r) \cdot (T_t - T_{t-1})$$

## CARBON CYCLE - EFFECTS OF LOCAL TEMPERATURE (2/2)

► Local temperature affects...

1. Natality rate (see above)

2. Local fundamental amenity:

$$\bar{b}_t(r) = \left( 1 + \underbrace{\Lambda^b(\Delta T_t(r), T_{t-1}(r))}_{\text{damage function}} \right) \bar{b}_{t-1}(r)$$

3. Local fundamental productivity:

$$\bar{a}_t(r) = \left( 1 + \underbrace{\Lambda^a(\Delta T_t(r), T_{t-1}(r))}_{\text{damage function}} \right) \left( \phi_{t-1}(r)^{\theta\gamma_1} \left[ \int_S D(v, r) \bar{a}_{t-1}(v) dv \right]^{1-\gamma_2} \bar{a}_{t-1}(r)^{\gamma_2} \right)$$

► Agents react accordingly and choose energy use, closing the carbon cycle

## ESTIMATION - ENERGY PRICES (1/4)

- ▶ Cost of fossil fuel extraction:

$$f(CumCO2_t) = \left( \frac{f_1}{f_2 + e^{-f_3(CumCO2_t - f_4)}} \right) + \left( \frac{f_5}{maxCumCO2 - CumCO2_t} \right)^3$$

$maxCumCO2$  from IPCC (2013);  $f_1-f_5$  estimated from matching Bauer et al. (2017)

- ▶  $\chi$  and  $\kappa$  estimated from firm FOCs:

$$\frac{w_0 Q_0 E_0}{w_0 L_0} = \frac{\mu(1 - \chi)}{\mu + \gamma_1/\xi}, \quad \left( \frac{Q_0^f}{Q_0^c} \right) \left( \frac{E_0^f}{E_0^c} \right)^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} = \frac{\kappa}{1 - \kappa}$$

$\varepsilon = 1.6$ ; other variables obtained from data/literature

- ▶  $\zeta$  estimated from firm FOCs again:

$$\zeta_0^f(r) = \left( \frac{\mu + \gamma_1/\xi}{\mu(1 - \chi)\kappa} \right) \left( \frac{e_0(r)}{L_0(r)} \right) \left( \frac{e_0^f(r)}{e_0(r)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} f(CumCO2_0)$$

$$\zeta_0^c(r) = \left( \frac{\mu + \gamma_1/\xi}{\mu(1 - \chi)(1 - \kappa)} \right) \left( \frac{e_0(r)}{L_0(r)} \right) \left( \frac{e_0^c(r)}{e_0(r)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}$$

- ▶  $v$  estimated from backcasting and fitting historical relative energy use

## ESTIMATION - DAMAGE FUNCTIONS (2/4)

- ▶ First, estimate  $\bar{b}_t(r)$  and  $\bar{a}_t(r)$  from inversion (as in Desmet, Nagy, and Rossi-Hansberg (2018))
- ▶ Identify (non-parametrically) the effect of temperature on local fundamentals:

$$\log(x_t(r)) = \sum_{j=1}^J \delta_j^x \cdot T_t(r) \cdot \underbrace{\mathbf{1}\{T_t(r) \geq \mathcal{T}_j\}}_{\text{temperature bin}} + \delta^z \cdot Z(r) \cdot \mathbf{1}\{x_t(r) = \bar{a}_t(r)/\phi_t(r)\} \\ + \iota(g) \cdot \mathbf{1}\{x_t(r) = \bar{b}_t(r)\} + \iota_t(s_x) + \varepsilon_t(r)$$

Damage function:  $\Lambda^x(\Delta T_t(r), T_{t-1}) = \delta^x(T_t(r))\Delta T_t(r)$

- ▶ Smooth non-parametric estimates using a logistic function
- ▶ Bliss-point:  $\delta_j^x = 0$

## ESTIMATION - NATALITY (3/4)

- ▶ Let

$$n_t(r) = \eta^y(\log(y_t(r))) + \eta^T(T_t(r), \log(y_t^w))$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\eta^y(\log(y_t(r))) &= \mathcal{B}(\log(y_t(r)); b^\ell) \cdot \mathbf{1}(\log(y_t(r)) < b^*) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{B}(\log(y_t(r)); b^h) \cdot \mathbf{1}(\log(y_t(r)) \geq b^*) \\ \eta^T(T_t(r), \log(y_t^w)) &= \frac{\mathcal{B}(T_t(r); b^T)}{1 + e^{b_w[\log(y_t^w) - \log(y_0^w)]}} \\ \mathcal{B}(\log(y_t(r)); b) &= b_0 + b_2 e^{-b_1(\log(y_t(r)) - b^*)^2}\end{aligned}$$

See footnote 36 for the shape of  $\mathcal{B}(\cdot)$

- ▶ Parameters estimated from backcasting and fitting historical natality rates

## ESTIMATION - CARBON CYCLE AND TEMPERATURE DOWNSCALING (4/4)

- ▶ Carbon cycle parameters estimated from matching the data
- ▶ Temperature downscaling scaler as a function of geographical attributes
- ▶ Parameters estimated from the correlation between global and local temperature

## SOLUTION ALGORITHM

- ▶ Solution algorithm similar to Desmet, Nagy, and Rossi-Hansberg (2018)
  - ▶ Aggregate dynamics with agents making static decisions
  - ▶ Solving the model by simulating forward (or backward)
- ▶ For problem set 2, okay to build on this model and add/drop elements
- ▶ What are the relevant counterfactuals to study energy transition?